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Workflow Engines

- Facilitates the flow of information, tasks, and events
- Provides method of orchestrating individual execution units
- Management of control flow and data flow
- Connects distributed models
- Codify production rules / policies
Increasingly being used in Earth science processing

ARE THERE ANY CONSISTENTLY POPULAR WORKFLOW ENGINES IN USE?
Duopolies and Oligopolies?

• “a market form in which a market or industry is dominated by a small number of sellers.”*

• The four-firm concentration ratio
  – Verizon, AT&T, Sprint Nextel, and T-Mobile
  – Sony Music Entertainment, Universal Music Group, Warner Music Group, and EMI
  – JDeveloper, Eclipse, NetBeans, and IntelliJ IDEA

• Duopolies
  – Visa and Mastercard
  – Airbus and Boeing
  – ATI and Nvidia
  – Intel and AMD
  – Oracle and MySQL
  – Java and C#
  – Python and Ruby
  – Matlab and IDL
  – HDF and NetCDF

What About Workflow Engines?

- ActiveBPEL
- Antflow
- Apache Agila
- Apache ODE
- Beexee
- Bonita
- Bossa
- BpmScript
- Carnot
- con:cern
- Dalma
- Eclipse Java Workflow Tooling
- Modeling Workflow Engine (MWE)
- Enhydra Shark
- FlowMind
- Flux
- Freefluo
- Galaxia
- Imixs IX Workflow
- jawflow
- JBoss jBPM
- JFlow
- JFolder
- kbee.wf
- PXE
- ruote (ruby)
- RUNA WFE
- Sarasvati
- Syrup
- Taverna
- Triana
- Tobflow
- Web and Flo / Kontinuum
- Werkflow
- WfMOpen
- Wilos
- Workpoint
- XFlow
- YAWL
- Zebra

and many more...!
Why So Many?

• No general dominating workflow engine
• Most can exec processes
• Many support invoking web services
• Many written in Java
• Many target business processes
• Others target scientific processes
• Many support control logic
• Many are derivatives of other implementations
Workflow Definition Language Models

• **Dataflow model / Entity-based**
  – The workflow is constructed from data processing and data transport (processors and data links).
  – Directed graphs
  – *Natural for scientific workflows*
  – E.g. Simple Conceptual Unified Flow Language (Scufl)

• **Process-centric model / Activity-based**
  – The nodes in the workflows are activities and the “data” passed between them form a control system rather than being a genuine flow of messages.
  – “State transitions”
  – *Natural for business processes*
  – E.g. Business Process Execution Language (BPEL)
Workflow Engines

SOME EXAMPLES
Example BPEL-based Workflow Engines

**Apache ODE**
- Apache ODE (Orchestration Director Engine)
- OASIS WS-BPEL 2.0 standard /compatibility for BPEL4WS 1.1

**JBoss jBPM**
- Enterprise business process orchestration and BPM.
- Coordinate people, application and services

**Workpoint**
- Automate and streamline the intricate processes in the enterprise.
- Torque open source resource manager

**FLUX**
- Job scheduling, File Transfer, Workflow and business process management (BPM) engine
GMU’s GeoBrain

BPELPower

- BPEL-based web service chaining from web application servers

GeoBrain Online Analysis System (GeOnAS)

- Automated data access, management, visualization, analysis, and workflow composition
- *Demoed automated service workflow composition*
Multi-mission Automated Task Invocation System (MATIS)

- A distributed workflow manager used for automated product generation.
- Built from jBPM (jBoss Business Process Management)
  - Based on BPEL
- Used in JPL production missions
  - Phoenix and Diviner
  - Future: MCS and MSL
- Consists of
  - a multi-mission core workflow component (jBoss jBPM)
  - a project-specific adaptation
Taverna Workbench

- An open source tool for designing and executing workflows created by the myGrid project and funded through the OMII-UK.
- Supports nesting of workflows and parallel execution
- Vectorization/iteration
  - Dot product and cross product enumerations
- SCUFL2
- Mature
- “fault tolerant”
- myExperiment Collaboration
- GUI workflow editor and visualization
- API built with software design patterns
  - E.g. enables easy adding of **provenance** observers/listeners
VisTrails

- An open-source scientific workflow and provenance management system developed at the University of Utah that provides support for data exploration and visualization.
- Emphasis on visualization and **provenance**
- Workflow nesting
- Workflow **versioning**
- Python-centric
- Academia adaptations
SciFlo

- Scientific Dataflow
- Python
- Web-based
  - AJAX editor
- Employs a Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Network of Grid workflow nodes
- Data & operator movement
  - Sometimes better to migrate processing, not data
Phoenix Integration PHX ModelCenter

- Commercial (~$30K?)
- Windows-centric
- Design-Of-Experiments
- Trade studies
- Plugins connect to Excel, Matlab, Mathematica, JMP, Pspice, etc.
Workflow Design Patterns

SOME PATTERNS FOR EARTH SCIENCE PROCESSING
Usage in Science Data Systems
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Handling Large Data Transfers

• Keep interface of workflow connections light
  – Orchestration engine passes data location, and not the data itself

• Each service endpoint pulls in its own large input data

![Diagram showing data flow between service endpoints and an enactor, with arrows indicating binary data pull and primitive data types.]
Configuration Not in Flow

• Configuration for each workflow component should not be in workflow pipes

• “lazy loading” of configuration
  – Each workflow component reads configuration settings from file

• Enables modifications to configuration for long running workflow instances
Outdated Input Settings

• Long runtimes of PGE
• Need to check configuration inputs once PGE completed in case of change.
• Rerun PGE workflow component if input configuration has changed
Vectorizing Runs

- Apply workflow on a sequence of data
- Example: Hyperspectral retrieval iterating through each pixel of image
USEFUL FEATURES FOR SCIENTIFIC WORKFLOWS
Desirements for Scientific Workflows

• Hierarchical (nested) workflows
  – Layered abstractions, modular
• Vectorization / iterations
  – Processing sequence of data flow
  – Analogous to vector operations in Matlab and IDL
• Orchestrating distributed services
  – SOAP, REST, OGC services, etc.
• Runtime WSDL and WADL introspection
• Integrated service registries discovery
  – UDDI, ServiceCasting, etc.
Desirements for Scientific Workflows

• Bean shell components
  – “Shim” services
• Collaboration
  – e-Science
• Semantics
• Provenance
  – Traceability
• Reproducibility of results
  – “Climate-gate”
• Workflow instance callable as API
So Why So Many?

• Domain-specific workflow features
  – Data flow for Bioinformatics and Earth science
  – Activity flow for business process management

• Fragmented “market”
  – Many derivatives of BPEL engines
  – Many custom adaptations

• Popular workflow engines in each domain-specific field. Examples:
  – Kepler (ecology, Ptolemy II)
  – Taverna (biology)
  – VisTrails (visualization)
  – ModelCenter (DOE)
Where We Are At / Heading To?

• Mixed results with workflow-based visual programming
• Asynchronous services
  – WS-Eventing and WS-Messaging
  – “Jobification” of SOAP/REST service interfaces
• Integrating with other services
  – ServiceCasting, DataCasting, Federated OpenSearch, etc.
• Collaborative workflows
  – myExperiment (Taverna)
  – Drupal-based Talkoot collaboration workflow (Rahul and Chris)
• Semantic service and datatype ontology
  – ESIP and ESDSWG activity
• Automated workflow discovery, execution, composition and interoperation
  – OWL-Services, WS-BPEL (legacy OASIS BPEL4WS)
• Provenance, semantic web services, and Proof Markup Language (PML)