



Data Quality Domain Working Group (DQDWG) at Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC)

Dr Ivana Ivánová
Curtin University
23 April 2019

Open Geospatial Consortium



- The Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) is an international industry consortium of over **528** companies, government agencies and universities participating in a consensus process to develop publicly available interface standards.
- de-facto standardization:
 - “the standards empower technology developers to make complex spatial information and services accessible and useful with all kinds of applications.”
 - OGC standards support interoperable solutions that "geo-enable" the Web

Data Quality Domain Working Group – mission



- WG charter approved in December 2006
- WG's first step should be to ascertain what DQ means to the various stakeholders in a geospatial supply chain.
- OWS4 Topology Quality Assessment Interoperability Report approved as OGC Discussion paper (https://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact_id=21821)

DQ Survey Oct 2007 – March 2008



- Driven by 1Spatial and Blue Marble – companies of then DQ DWG co-chairs
- 728 respondents – majority from:
 - EU and North America
 - Governmental and military organizations, professional, scientific and technology industry with around 250 employees
 - Only around 17% were OGC members at the time
 - Most were both, suppliers and consumers of spatial data
- Results:
 - Almost all respondents stated that **data quality is important**, but more than 60% had no clear approach for managing it (just some reasons: unaware of standards, missing metadata...).

DQ Survey – impact on the work of DQ DWG in 2008



- Definition of quality measures in a manner that allows communication of them through a spec or other vehicle
- Develop course of action – resulting in redefining DQ DWG’s mission:
 - “... a forum for describing an interoperable framework or model for OGC Quality Assurance measures and Web Services to enable access and sharing of high quality geospatial information...”



- DQ aspects:
 - Accuracy (spatial, thematic and temporal),
 - Consistency and Integrity,
 - Completeness,
 - Semantic Interoperability (definitions, languages),
 - Scale, Spatial Reference Systems and Projection.
- Reference to the standards defined in ISO 19157 and ISO 19115 metadata standards and other relevant standards for quality measures and descriptors.



- Currently 41 members from academia, governmental agencies, mapping agencies and private companies
- Co-chairs (as of December 2017):
 - Matt Beare (Beare Essentials)
 - Sam Meek (Helyx secure information systems ltd)
 - Ivana Ivánová (Curtin University)
- Closely related OGC groups:
 - Quality of Service and Experience DWG
 - Citizen Science DWG

DQ DWG program of work



- DQ DWG is present on around half of OGC's technical meetings – when there is interest from the members to present something related
- Reviews:
 - On standards – e.g. ISO 19157 in 2010
 - OGC ER – e.g. within Testbed 13 in 2017-2018 on reports which implemented 19157 DQ model:
 - FA001: (Aviation) Abstract Quality Model ER
 - FA002: (Aviation) Data Quality Specification ER
 - FA003: (Aviation) Quality Assessment Service ER

DQ DWG @ OGC – interests to date



- What is the understanding of quality within producers and consumers of spatial data?
- What are the limitations in use of data quality information?
 - UncertML (OGC discussion paper)
 - Geospatial User Feedback (OGC standard: <http://docs.opengeospatial.org/is/15-097r1/15-097r1.html>)
- How to manage the quality of ‘non-authoritative spatial data’?

DQ DWG – current course of actions



- In December 2017 DQ DWG initiated a revision of the DQ Mission to encapsulate our focus areas going forward and place greater emphasis on **user-centric requirements**
- (inter-DWG) collaboration on Spatial Data Quality needs
 - The Data Quality DWG believes that many other DWGs have DQ as a topic influencing their current discussions and activities.
 - We'd like to know how the DQ DWG can best support these groups in collaborative exercise for the provision of practical advice on requirements, model definition and best practice implementation – and from this how we can influence the related standards to better facilitate these needs.

NAD ad-hoc – a result of new course of action



- Joint venture of Citizen Science DWG and Data Quality DWG initiated in December 2017
- Has met 3 times since
- Currently working on best practice document for handling crowdsourcing and volunteered geographic information – this will include:
 - advice on metadata that needs to be collected to support the use of crowdsourcing and volunteered geographic information for decision making;
 - advice on how to combine the data and its metadata to facilitate delivery;
 - advice on, or possibly definitions of, RESTful APIs used for receiving crowdsourcing and volunteered geographic information.

DQ DWG & ESIP IQC



- Overlapping interests – complementary actions?
- How the DQ DWG can best support ESIP IQC?



THANK YOU!
ivana.ivanova@curtin.edu.au