



Wildlife and the Siting of Renewable Energy Facilities: Current Tools and Ongoing Challenges

ESIP Meeting January 4-5, 2012

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

Wind Energy Impacts on Ecosystems

- Impacts can occur in all phases: Construction, operations, infrastructure, transmission
 - Mortality and injury of multiple wildlife species (birds and bats)
 - Displacement from essential habitat (e.g. sage grouse)
 - Behavioral changes
 - Alteration of critical habitat (e.g. sage grouse, black bears)
 - Impacts from noise, vibrations, and electromagnetic influences (e.g. ground dwelling mammals, reptiles)









Potential Solar Energy Impacts on Ecosystems

- Impacts can occur in all phases: Construction, operations, infrastructure, transmission
 - Impacts wildlife movements and genetic exchange, soils, vegetation, ecosystem processes and microclimate
- Emphasis on desert ecosystems already burdened with water availability problems
- Species include mammals, birds and reptiles



Desert tortoise

Jeff Lovich, USGS



Tool Development and Availability
OSTP Ad Hoc Committee (2011)
Wide range of decision tools in development

Transparency and quality control an issue

Collaboration essential- fed, state, private

Assessment of activities underway



Coordination in progress

- Guidelines to aid proponents in meeting laws/regs re: project siting
- Compilation of available wildlife and habitat assessment data

More coordination needed

- Decision tool development and validation
- Data compilation
- Tool/data access
- Adaptive management



Data compilation examples

- LCCs
- BLM Rapid Ecological Assessments
- WGA-Crucial Habitat Assessment Tools
- NPS Inventory & Monitoring Landscape Dynamics
- FWS NR Program Center of NW Refuge System
- Issues
 - Massive undertaking, merging assessment data
 - Solutions being discussed



USGS Examples

- Crosswalk for Renewables Assessment
- Integrated assessment for SW Wyoming (WLCI)
- Habitat prioritization models
- Wind energy and transmission development WY
- Golden Eagle Occupancy
- RAM
- FWS Examples
 - FWS Reg 6 Landscape scale Energy Action Plan
 - FWS ECOS-IPaC



Non Government examples

- AWWI Landscape Assessment Tool
- Transmission Siting Decision Support (Idaho and Boise State, U of ID
- Pandion/Normandeau Habitat Based Wind-Wildlife Risk tool
- NatureServ Vista
- TNC Ecological Risk Assessment Wind





A Rapid Assessment Method (RAM) to assess site suitability for wind energy development: BCR 11 pilot

The overall purpose of a RAM is to determine in a short time frame whether wind development at a proposed site:

- likely poses appreciable risks to wildlife or their habitats,
- appears not to pose significant risks to wildlife or their habitats, or
- 3) requires further investigation to determine whether the site falls into category 1 or 2.



RAM

Principal Investigator: Douglas H. Johnson, USGS NPWRC

Primary Author: Courtney Amundson, University of Minnesota Contributor: Meghan Sandlowski, USFWS Collaborators: Al Manville, FWS; Jim Perry, University of Minnesota Funding: Plains and Prairie Potholes LCC, USGS NPWRC

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

BCR 11: the Prairie Potholes (U.S.)





RAM Objectives

Primary Objectives:

- Identify the important natural resources in BCR 11 re: siting wind energy facilities
- Identify and evaluate relevant sources of data about those resources

Secondary objectives:

- Identify critical information gaps
- Provide information that takes into consideration natural resources and their risk from wind development and that align with related federal and state guidance documents
- Define the assumptions that form the basis for our assessment; assess the strength of evidence supporting assumptions
- Discuss methodologies, tools, and data available or needed to address information gaps



Links to Existing Tools

- American Wind and Wildlife Institute's Landscape Assessment Tool (LAT)
 - Information gathered for BCR 11 could feed in
 - Can identify species of concern at a site finer scale than RAM pilot
- US Fish and Wildlife Service Information, Planning, and Conservation system (IPaC)
 - Incorporating a RAM into IPaC facilitates identifying characteristics and species of concern at a site by implementing relevant spatial layers into an online interface

NOAA Habitat Priority Planner (HPP)

- Could provide a vehicle for assessing habitat characteristics at a proposed development site using GIS depending on available data layers
- Can be incorporated into a RAM to provide site-specific, detailed habitat information.



Contrasts with Existing Tools

- RAM is driven by data needs, not data availability
- RAM is bottom-up, not top-down, that is, based on regional assessments
- RAM focus is on content, not delivery, of information

Therefore: RAM is complementary to other tools



Example Comparison: RAM, IPaC and LAT

Proposed site
 between Chase and
 Pearl Lakes, North
 Dakota



Lake Willian

Rohinson

Goldwin

Woodworth

IPaC Output

 Currently limited to ESA listed species and overlap with National Wildlife Refuges

Project Counties: Stutsman, ND

Project type: Power Generation

Endangered Species Act Species-list There are a total of 5 species in your species-list

Species that may be affected by your project:

Birds Threatened @ species info Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus) North Dakota Ecological Services Field Office Population: except Great Lakes watershed Candidate 🕑 Sprague's Pipit (Anthus spragueii) species info North Dakota Ecological Services Field Office Endangered @ species info North Dakota Ecological Services Field Office Whooping crane (Grus americana) Population: except where EXPN Insects Dakota Skipper (Hesperia dacotae) Candidate 🕜 species info North Dakota Ecological Services Field Office Mammals Endangered @ species info North Dakota Ecological Services Field Office Gray wolf (Canis lupus) Population: Lower 48 States, except MN, MT, ID, portions of eastern OR, eastern WA, north-central UT, and where EXPN, Mexico,

Don't see a species you expect to see?

FWS National Wildlife Refuges

There are no refuges found within the vicinity of your project.

FWS Migratory Birds

Not yet available through IPaC.

FWS Delineated Wetlands Not yet available through IPaC.

Back Continue...

 \approx

Last updated: December 30. 2011

IPaC Output

Currently limited to ESA listed species and overlap with National Wildlife Refuges

ESA Species

Piping Plover Sprague's Pipit Whooping Crane Dakota Skipper Gray Wolf

National Wildlife Refuges

"There are no refuges within the vicinity of your project"



LAT Output

- List of species of concern with overlapping distributions,
- Conservation status,
- General issues with wind development, and
- Migratory Bird Treaty Act?
- Maps including location, topography, aerial images, land ownership, disturbance, and wind power class



RAM Output

Known Issues

Grassland birds: Baird's Sparrow, Sprague's Pipit, and others nest nearby

Proximate to Chase Lake National Wildlife Refuge One of world's largest American White Pelican colony Nesting Piping Plovers Several other waterbird species nest there Whooping Cranes and many Sandhill Cranes migrate through Uncertainties Grassland birds: migration routes, staging areas Effects on Sprague's Pipit's extended aerial display Shorebird, colonial waterbird, and marshbird migration routes and stopover sites

Especially Piping Plover, Least Tern Susceptibility of Sandhill Cranes to collision during migration Use of area by bats



Other Agencies Developing Tools, Assessments

- Department of Energy
- USDA Forest Service
- Department of Defense
- NOAA
- State and Local Governments



USGS Basic and Applied Research: Wind & Solar Energy, Wildlife & Habitats

- new tools and techniques
 - Acoustic, thermal imaging and infra-red videography
 - Weather radar
 - Multi scale mapping, forecasting
- modeling complex ecosystems interactions with development and operations, optimization studies
 - Facility footprints & localized changes
 - Habitat use modeling, seasonal distributions, susceptibility, regional patterns





Wind Energy Development & Sage Grouse



Red - authorized leases Blue - pending leases Unshaded - SG core areas

≥USGS

Prepared by Zach Bowen, USGS Fort Collins

- Geographic extent of active leases for wind development in the region of the Greater Sage Grouse Conservation Area (BLM land) is significant.
- Wyoming 2010:
 - Authorized Wind Leases 847,345 ha. (17% total leases in SG core areas)
 - Pending Wind Leases
 1,283,920 ha. (24% total leases in SG core Areas)

Eagle Research Plan

Population Assessment
Predicting Golden eagle occurrence
Mortality estimation
Habitat mapping
Adaptive management





Seasonal timing of bat mortality at wind turbines







Summary

- Basic and applied research, modeling and mapping underway at multiple scales and locations
- Guidance, scientific research, data compilation and decision tools





Future Needs

- Consistent framework for agencies and developers where risks are identified
- Jointly identified data gaps, research priorities set in structured process
- Find comfortable risk level for stakeholders
- Identify existing capacity
- Be realistic about costs, how to get the job done?
- Focus on best siting, but also on possible mitigation
- Data and decision tool access and quality control







Tortoise Habitat?

